Preparation and Properties of a Reactive Type Nonionic Surfactant Grafted Linear Low Density Polyethylene

Zhanhai Yao^{1, 2} (∞), Jinghua Yin¹, Yongxian Song¹, Guowei Jiang¹, Yuchun Song¹

¹State Key Laboratory of Polymer Physics and Chemistry, Changchun Institute of Applied Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changchun 130022, People's Republic of China ²The graduate school of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100039, People's Republic of China

Received: 21 December 2006 / Revised version: 12 February 2007 / Accepted: 26 February 2007 Published online: 9 March 2007 – © Springer-Verlag 2007

Summary

A reactive type nonionic surfactant, monostearic acid monomaleic acid glycerol diester (MMGD) was synthesized in our laboratory. Grafting-copolymerization of linear low density polyethylene (LLDPE) with MMGD was carried out by using β ray irradiation in air in a twin-screw extruder. Evidence of the grafting of MMGD as well as its extent was determined by Fourier-transformed infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy. The effects of monomer concentration, reaction temperature and screw run speed on degree of grafting were studied systematically. The thermal behavior of LLDPE-g-MMGD was investigated by using differential scanning calorimety (DSC). Compared with neat LLDPE, the crystallization temperature (T_c) of LLDPE-g-MMGD increased about 3 °C, and the melting enthalpy (ΔH_m) decreased with increase of MMGD content. It showed that the grafted MMGD monomer onto LLDPE acted as a nucleating agent. The tensile properties and light transmission of blown films were determined. Comparing with neat LLDPE film, no obvious changes could be found for the tensile strength, elongation at break and right angle tearing strength of LLDPEg-MMGD film. The wettability is expressed by the water contact angle. With an increasing percentage of MMGD, the contact angles of water on film surface of LLDPE-g-MMGD decrease monotonically. Accelerated dripping property of film samples was investigated. The dripping duration of LLDPE-g-MMGD film and commercial antifog dripping film at 60 °C were 52 days and 17 days, respectively.

Keywords: Surfactant; Linear low density polyethylene; Grafting; Irradiation

Introduction

Polyethylene as well as many other polyolefins, are widely used for their low cost and versatile properties; but their poor reactivity, dyeability, and hygroscophilicity limit their potential. Chemical modification of polyolefins with functional monomers has

been the subject of extensive studies due to their potential use as components for advanced plastic materials with improved technological properties or as compatibilizers in polymer blends and composites [1-4]. In general, the aim of this modification is to alter the chemical properties of polymer, mainly its polarity [5-7]. Polyolefins have low polarity and low surface energy, which is benefit for electric applications, packaging materials, and so on [8-9]. However, this feature becomes a drawback for many other practical applications such as painting, printing, gluing, and so forth. To improve the adhesion and wetting ability of polyolefin films with other polar media, surface oxidation, corona treatment, or some other chemical means have been adopted [10-13]. In the biomedical field, modification of polyolefin films is usually carried out by surface grafting or blending with hydrophilic polymers [14-15].

The antifog formulation for greenhouse coverings represents a notable improvement in agricultural applications. By adding tensioactive additives into the formulation, these films offer better light transmission and avoid droplet formation on the surface. The antifog effect involves a lower solid-liquid surface tension that, when condensation occurs, spreads the droplets evenly over the surface of the solid instead of forming fine droplets [16]. The ability of PE films to retain inks, coatings, or adhesives or spread a liquid evenly over the surface is primarily dependent upon the character of the surface. It can be improved by several surface treatment techniques or by adding internal tensioactive agents that migrate to the surface to modify the surface tension.

When LLDPE was adopted as a material for greenhouses, good wetting properties [17] were needed, usually obtained by mechanically mixing with different types of surfactants. However, because their poor compatibility with LLDPE originated from the difference in their chemical structures, migration of surfactant toward the surface of films could occur. Surfactants would be gradually lost with the water and the wetting properties would completely disappear after a very short time. If surfactants were chemically bonded with the molecular chains of LLDPE, the hydrophilic properties of LLDPE films might be maintained for a long time.

Nonionic surfactants containing hydrophilic groups such as ether, ester, and hydroxyl groups could not ionize in their water solutions. This could be attributed to the formation of hydrogen bonds between ether groups and water molecules [18]. These surfactants have been applied in many industrial areas. The hydrophobic properties and the melting point increase with an increase in the length of the alkyl chain. Preparation structure and properties of MMGD were reported in our previous papers [19-20].

In this article, we prepared grafting copolymer of LLDPE with MMGD by using β ray irradiation in air in a twin-screw extruder, and estimated the properties of the obtained graft polymer films in order to obtain films with antifog characteristics.

Experimental

Materials

The LLDPE with trade name DFDA-7042 with MFR 2.0g/10min was provided by Jilin Petrochemical Co., China as a powder without additives. Glycerol monostearate was obtained from Linan Luyuan Chemical Co., China. Analytical grades of acetone, maleic anhydride, toluene, hydroquinone, p-toluenesulfonic acid, chloroform and xylene were purchased from Beijing Chemical Co., China.

Synthesis of MMGD

71.6 g of glycerol monostearate (0.2 mol), maleic anhydride (19.6 g, 0.2 mol) of acetone (40 mL) solution, 60 mL of toluene, p-toluenesulfonic acid $(1.1 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol})$ and hydroquinone $(1.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol})$ were introduced in a 250 mL three necked round bottom flask, equipped with mechanical stirrer and condenser. The reaction mixture was stirred for 8 h at 85 °C, then cooled to room temperature, and extracted with a mixture of chloroform (300 mL) and water (60 mL). The organic extracts were combined. After distilling chloroform, toluene and acetone, a reactive type nonionic surfactant, MMGD was obtained. MMGD is soluble in many organic solvents, such as xylene and acetone. The chemical structure of MMGD is shown in Scheme 1.



Scheme 1. Chemical structure of MMGD

Electron beam irradiation of LLDPE

Electron beam irradiation of LLDPE was carried out in air at room temperature at Jilin Radiation Chemistry Industrial Co., China. The Company houses a 3 MeV, 120 kW electron beam accelerator. LLDPE was exposed to a 3 MeV electron beam. The beam length is 7.5 cm and the beam is scanned over a width of 1.2 m. The beam current was kept constant to a value of 7.2 mA beam current, yielding a dose rate of about 7 kGy/s. The conveyor speed was set to 4.8 m/min. The irradiation doses used was 12kGy.

Preparation of grafting copolymer

The graft copolymer was prepared in a homemade corotating twin-screw extruder. The diameter of the screws was 30 mm and the ratio of length to diameter (L/D) was 44. The L/D ratio the reactive zone was 24, and in the melting zone and conveying zone was 12 and 8, respectively. LLDPE was pre-irradiated by electronic accelerator and the graft monomer MMGD were premixed and added into the extruder through the feeder. The processing temperature was set at 200 °C and the screw run speed was 100 rpm. The grafted LLDPE was pelletized after extrusion. By adjusting screw run speed (from 75 to 200 rpm), monomer concentration (1–5 wt %, based on LLDPE as 100 wt %), LLDPE graft copolymers were obtained with different degrees of grafting.

Measurements of degree of grafting

The degree of grafting of LLDPE-g-MMGD copolymer was determined by FT-IR. FT-IR spectra of a series of mechanical LLDPE/MMGD blends in known weight

proportions (99/1, 98/2, 97/3, 96/4, 95/5, 94/6, 93/7, 92/8, 91/9, 90/10) were carried out and the plot of MMGD content in the blends versus the ratio of A_{1732} to A_{2019} was employed as the calibration curve. These results enable us to establish the calibration equation, as shown in equation (1):

Degree of grafting of MMGD (%)=
$$0.61A_{1732}/A_{2019}$$
 (1)

Where A_{1732}/A_{2019} was the ratio of the height of carbonyl stretch peaks (1732 cm⁻¹ for MMGD) to methylene peak (2019 cm⁻¹ for LLDPE).

From the ratio A_{1732}/A_{2019} measured on the spectrum of the purified LLDPE-g-MMGD, we can calculate the degree of grafting of LLDPE-g-MMGD.

Preparation of LLDPE-g-MMGD films

Blown film was prepared from the grafting copolymer. Blown 0.12 mm thick films were prepared using a Haake single screw extruder (L/D = 24) connected to a round die operating at 195 °C on the die. The blown film was then cooled by wind ring.

Purification of grafted MMGD

Approximately 3–5 g LLDPE graft sample was dissolved in 150 mL of xylene, then the solution was poured into 500 mL of acetone with stirring. The precipitate was filtered by vacuum and washed with acetone, then dried in a vacuum oven at 60°C for 48 h.

Characterization of LLDPE-g-MMGD films

Contact angle measurement was made with a JY-82 contact angle goniometer (Chengde Test Machine Co., Ltd. China) at ambient humidity and temperature. Droplets of deionized water were placed at different locations on the films that were compression-molded from purified grafting copolymer with a microsyringe. The droplet volume was 5-10 μ L. A minimum of eight readings was taken for each film to determine the average values. Typical standard deviations were 2-3°.

Tensile tests of the blown film were carried out in an Instron 1121 tensile tester at room temperature and a crosshead speed of 50 mm/min.

The purified grafting copolymer was analyzed by FT-IR. The degrees of grafting were measured through an FT-IR calibration equation (1). FT-IR spectra were obtained by means of a Bruker Vertex 70 Spectrometer on compression moulded films. The films were pressed at 180 $^{\circ}$ C. Each spectrum was recorded from 400 to 4000 cm⁻¹ with a total of 32 scans.

Accelerated dripping properties of blown LLDPE-g-MMGD films and the same of thick commercial dripping films were investigated with an 8302 Accelerated Dripping Tester (Gongyi City Yuhua Co., Ltd. China) at 60 °C. The dripping duration was the average of three measurements. A commercial 0.12 mm thick PE antifog film, for covering greenhouse was used as a reference.

Thermal properties were measured by using Perkin-Elmer 7 DSC. Samples from purified grafting copolymer were first heated to 180 °C at 10 °C /min and kept for 5 min, then were cooled to room temperature. The T_c was recorded. Crystalline melting temperature (T_m) and ΔH_m were measured during the second heating cycle.

Transmittancy and haze of blown LLDPE-g-MMGD films determinations were carried out in an Optical Hazemeter WGT-S system (Shanghai Precision & Scientific Instrument Co., Ltd. China). The values obtained are averages of at least six determinations.

Results and discussion

Due to irradiation, hydroperoxide and diperoxide are generated in LLDPE powders [21-22]. Some of the hydroperoxide and diperoxide present in powders participate in grafting on LLDPE. FT-IR spectra of the LLDPE, LLDPE-g-MMGD and MMGD are shown in Figure 1 (a)-(c), respectively. Comparing with the spectra of LLDPE and MMGD, the spectrum of LLDPE-g-MMGD showed characteristic of a saturated MMGD. It is clearly seen that one new absorption band at 1732 cm⁻¹, which is attributed to contributions of carbonyl of MMGD, appears for the LLDPE-g-MMGD, and a peak at 1640 cm⁻¹ which is attributed to contribution of double bond of MMGD, disappears in the LLDPE-g-MMGD. These results indicate that MMGD has been successfully grafted onto the LLDPE molecular chain.



Figure 1. FT-IR spectra of the pure LLDPE (a), LLDPE-g-MMGD (b) and MMGD (c)

The effect of monomer concentration on the degree of grafting of MMGD in LLDPEg-MMGD is shown in Figure 2. It is seen from Figure 2 that the degree of grafting of MMGD in LLDPE-g-MMGD increased with increasing MMGD monomer concentration. This feature can be tentatively explained as follows: Hydroperoxide and diperoxide appeared on molecular chains of LLDPE after it was pre-irradiated by β -rays in the present of oxygen. During reactive extrusion, the radicals, coming from the diperoxide and hydroperoxide on the pre-irradiated molecular chains of LLDPE decomposition, could react with monomer to form graft copolymer. With increase concentration of the MMGD monomer, the possibility and rate of grafting reaction would increase. It has been found that the crosslinking could be avoided during the grafting reaction of monomer onto low dose pre-irradiated LLDPE. However, it has been previous noted that during grafting of LLDPE with surfactant, crosslinking reactions of LLDPE occurred easily with traditional chemical methods [23].



Figure 2. The effect of monomer concentration on degree of grafting of LLDPE-g-MMGD (the processing temperature was 200 $^{\circ}$ C, the screw run speed was 100 rpm, the irradiation dose was 12 kGy)



Figure 3. The effect of temperature on the degree of grafting of LLDPE-g-MMGD (the MMGD concentration was 3.0 wt%, the screw run speed was 100 rpm, the irradiation dose was 12 kGy)

The temperature is one of the important factors that control the reaction of graft copolymerization. The Effect of reaction extrusion temperature on the degree of grafting is shown in Figure 3. It can be seen that the degree of grafting of MMGD in LLDPE-g-MMGD increase with increasing temperature. This result could be explained as increased thermal decomposition rate of diperoxide and hydroperoxide on the pre-irradiated molecular chains of LLDPE with increasing temperature, resulting in increased polymer macroradicals concentration, and thus enhanced the degree of grafting. Another factor in this can be faster monomeric diffusion processes in the LLDPE increases with increasing temperature, enhanced probability of grafting reaction of the monomer, results in higher the degree of grafting.

The effect of screw run speed on the degree of grafting of LLDPE-g-MMGD is shown in Figure 4. It can be seen that with an increasing screw run speed, the degree of grafting of LLDPE-g-MMGD decrease monotonically. This is due to the residence time of LLDPE decrease with increasing screw run speed. The degree of grafting of LLDPE-g-MMGD decreased with reduction of residence time of LLDPE in the twinscrew extruder.



Figure 4. The effect of screw run speed on the degree of grafting of LLDPE-g-MMGD (the MMGD concentration was 3.0 wt%, the processing temperature was 200 $^{\circ}$ C, the irradiation dose was 12 kGy)

The contact angles of a water drop on the surfaces of film samples of plain LLDPE and purified LLDPE-g-MMGD with different degree of grafting are reported in Table 1 as a function of MMGD content. When the degree of grafting of MMGD was 0.52% and 1.31%, the contact angles of water on the surfaces of LLDPE-g-MMGD films were 62° and 23°, respectively. Observe that with an increasing degree of grafting of MMGD, the contact angles of water decrease monotonically. This result suggests that the surface polarity of these films is augmented by an increase of the content of the functionalized polymer. This augmented surface polarity reduces the measured contact angle and increases the wetting of the liquid on the film surface.

| Film samples | Degree of grafting (%) | Contact angle (°C) |
|--------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| LLDPE | | 89 |
| LLDPE-g-MMGD | 0.52 | 62 |
| LLDPE-g-MMGD | 0.76 | 53 |
| LLDPE-g-MMGD | 0.82 | 41 |
| LLDPE-g-MMGD | 1.06 | 32 |
| LLDPE-g-MMGD | 1.31 | 23 |

Table 1 The contact angles of water drop on surfaces of film samples of LLDPE and LLDPE-g-MMGD with different degree of grafting

Table 2 lists the tensile strength (δ_b), elongation at break (ϵ_b), right angle tearing strength (δ_r), transmittancy (I_t) and haze (I_s) for films of LLDPE and LLDPE-g-MMGD with different monomer concentration. When adding 1.0, 2.0, 2.5 and 3.0 MMGD (wt%), respectively, it is found that the I_s for films of LLDPE-g-MMGD decreased slightly with increments of MMGD concentration, while the δ_b , ϵ_b , δ_r and I_t values for films of LLDPE-g-MMGD were similar. Comparing with pure LLDPE film, no obvious changes could be found for δ_b , ϵ_b , δ_r and I_t of LLDPE-g-MMGD films.

| Film samples | MMGD | Ób | ε _b | ó _r | It | Is |
|--------------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|-----|-----|
| | (wt%) | (MPa) | (%) | (KN/m) | (%) | (%) |
| LLDPE | | 19.8 | 618 | 85 | 90 | 22 |
| LLDPE-g-MMGD | 1.0 | 18.9 | 605 | 83 | 89 | 20 |
| LLDPE-g-MMGD | 2.0 | 19.1 | 608 | 82 | 90 | 18 |
| LLDPE-g-MMGD | 2.5 | 18.5 | 602 | 84 | 91 | 17 |
| LLDPE-g-MMGD | 3.0 | 18.7 | 603 | 83 | 90 | 16 |

Table 2 Mechanical properties and light transmission properties of film samples of LLDPE and LLDPE-g-MMGD with different monomer concentration

The T_m , T_c and ΔH_m of LLDPE-g-MMGD are listed in Table 3. The ΔH_m values of LLDPE-g-MMGD decreased with an increasing degree of grafting. For example, the ΔH_m values of LLDPE-g-MMGD with degree of grafting 1.41 wt% were 7.6 J/g lower than that of LLDPE-g-MMGD with degree of grafting 0.52 wt%. For LLDPE-g-MMGD, the T_c increased about 3 °C and the T_m increased a little. These results could be explained from monomer grafted on LLDPE molecular chains. The grafted MMGD monomer acts as a nucleation agent, which improved the crystallization capability of LLDPE molecular chains, and LLDPE molecular chains might crystallize at higher temperature. In Table 3, it can be seen that the change in T_m is much smaller than the change in T_c , leading to a reduced degree of supercooling (T_m-T_c) . Because of grafting MMGD molecular on LLDPE molecular chains, the perfection of LLDPE molecular chains regularity were destroyed, which would lead to the reduction in total crystallinity.

Table 3 Melting temperature (T_m), crystallization temperature (T_c), melting enthalpy (ΔH_m), and T_m - T_c of LLDPE and LLDPE-g-MMGD with different degree of grafting

| Samples | Degree of grafting (%) | T _m (°C) | T _c (°C) | ΔH_m (J/g) | T _m - T _c (°C) |
|--------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| LLDPE | | 122.9 | 108.3 | 89.9 | 14.6 |
| LLDPE-g-MMGD | 0.52 | 123.0 | 109.4 | 87.3 | 13.6 |
| LLDPE-g-MMGD | 0.92 | 123.3 | 110.1 | 84.2 | 13.2 |
| LLDPE-g-MMGD | 1.31 | 123.2 | 110.5 | 81.3 | 12.7 |
| LLDPE-g-MMGD | 1.41 | 123.1 | 111.2 | 79.7 | 11.9 |

Accelerated dripping property of commercial dripping film and LLDPE-g-MMGD film with different monomer concentration is reported in Table 4. It can be seen that the dripping duration of commercial dripping film and LLDPE-g-MMGD film with 3.0wt% MMGD concentration are 17 days and 52 days, respectively. It can also be seen that films made of LLDPE-g-MMGD show a noticeable increment in dripping duration as the MMGD content is increased. The increment in dripping duration could be attributed to better wetting of water drop on films with improved surface polarity. The MMGD is a better wetting agent because it contains hydroxyl and carbonyl. After reactive grafting, the MMGD were chemically bonded with the molecular chains of LLDPE, which would not be gradually lost with the water. The wetting properties would be maintained for a long time.

| Film samples | MMGD | Dripping temperature | Dripping time |
|-----------------|-------|----------------------|---------------|
| | (wt%) | (°C) | (day) |
| Commercial film | | 60 | 17 |
| LLDPE-g-MMGD | 1.0 | 60 | 25 |
| LLDPE-g-MMGD | 1.5 | 60 | 30 |
| LLDPE-g-MMGD | 2.0 | 60 | 38 |
| LLDPE-g-MMGD | 2.5 | 60 | 46 |
| LLDPE-g-MMGD | 3.0 | 60 | 52 |

Table 4 Accelerated dripping property of commercial dripping film and LLDPE-g-MMGD film with different monomer concentration

Conclusions

MMGD was successfully grafted onto molten LLDPE by using β ray irradiation in air in a twin-screw extruder. The degree of grafting of MMGD in LLDPE-g-MMGD increased with increasing MMGD monomer concentration and temperature. With an increasing percentage of MMGD, the contact angles of water on the film surfaces of LLDPE-g-MMGD decrease monotonically. Comparing with pure LLDPE film, no obvious changes could be found for tensile strength, elongation at break, right angle tearing strength and transmittancy of LLDPE-g-MMGD films. The haze for films of LLDPE-g-MMGD decreased slightly with increments of MMGD concentration. The grafted MMGD monomer acts as a nucleation agent, which improved the crystallization capability of LLDPE molecular chains, and LLDPE molecular chains might crystallize at higher temperature. The dripping duration of LLDPE-g-MMGD film with 3.0 wt% MMGD concentration at 60 °C is 3 times higher than that of commercial dripping film.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to acknowledge the financial support of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Project No 50390090).

References

- 1. Aglietto M, Ruggeri G, Luppichini E, D'Alessio A, Benedetti E (1993) Mater Eng 4:253
- 2. Song Z, Baker WE (1992) Polymer 33:3266
- 3. Gao Y, Huang HL, Yao ZH, Yin JH (2003) J Polym Sci Polym Phys Ed 41:1837
- 4. Yao ZH, Yin ZH, Sun GE, Liu CZ, Ren LQ, Yin JH (2000) J Appl Polym Sci. 75:232
- 5. Santos JM, Ribeiro MR, Portela MF, Bordado JM (2001) Chem Eng Sci 56:4191
- 6. Xanthos M (1988) Polym Eng Sci 28:1392
- 7. Gaylord NG (1992) Reactive Extrusion: Principles and Practice, Hanser: New York, Chapter3, pp55-71
- Yamashita S, Kodama K, Ikeda Y, Kohjiya S (1993) J Polym Sci Part A Polym Chem 31:2437
- 9. Berlinnova IV, Amzil A, Panayotov IM (1992) J Macromol Sci Pure Appl Chem 29:975
- 10. Kholdi OE, Lecamp L, Lebaudy P, Bunel C, Alexandre S (2004) J Appl Polym Sci 92:2803
- 11. Lu WL, Huang CY, Roan ML (2003) Surf Coat Technol 172:251
- 12. Huang CY, Tseng CI (2000) J Appl Polym Sci 78:800
- 13. Ng LT, Garnett JL, Zilic E, Nguyen D (2001) Radiat Phys Chem 62:89
- 14. Bergbreiter DE, Srinivas B (1992) Macromolecules 25:636
- 15. Bergbreiter DE, Chen Z, Hu HP (1984) Macromolecules 17:2111

- 16. Toneatti P (1989) Plasticulture 84:6
- 17. Sa'nchez-Valdes S, Picazo-Rada CJ, Lopez-Quintanilla ML (2001) J Appl Polym Sci 79:1802
- Xu YL (2000) The Functionality of the Surfactants, Xu YL, Ed. Chemistry Industrial Press, Beijing, Chapter 1, p 26
- 19. Yao ZH, Yin JH, Song YX, Jiang GW, Song YC (2006) China Plastics 11:66
- 20. Yao ZH, Yin JH, Song YX, Jiang GW (2006) China Patent CN 1814658A
- 21. Guthrie JT (2002) Surface Coatings International Part B Coatings Transactions 85:27
- 22. Chapiro A (1969) Encyclopedia of Polymer Science & Technology, Mark HF, Gaylord NG, Eds, John Wiley & Sons: New York, Vol. 11, pp 702–755
- 23. Hallden A, Wesslen B (1996) J Appl Polym Sci 60:2495